

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,899 11/13/2003		Joun Ho Lee	o Lee 8733.275.20-US		
30827	7590	03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
		& ALDRIDGE LI	NGUYEN, HOAN C		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2071	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/705,899	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Art Offic	

	Advisory Action	10/703,699	LEE'E I AL.					
ı	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	•	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE F			=					
1. 🔲	E REPLY FILED 01 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W HEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	OWTHIN TWO				
oeen fil CFR 1. above, earned	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened staif checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
	CE OF APPEAL							
,	The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS							
		hat wing to the date of filling a built	f					
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
	appear, and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims					
,	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
<u> 1</u> П	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(1 10L-02+).				
3. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a he non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. 🛛 I	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:							
(Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 22-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🔲 [.]	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
REQU	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:				
	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. ∐	Other:	SU	ROBERTIVH. KI PERVISORY PATENT	M EVANINED				
			TECHNOLOGY CENTE	R 2800				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amended claim 1 cites new ISSUE "auxiliary electrode lines formed in the same layer as the gate lines", which is need further search and consideration.